

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,971	02/05/2004	Joseph Z. Lu	I20 06799US 5188	
128 HONEYWELI	7590 02/20/2008 LINTERNATIONAL IN	EXAMINER		
101 COLUMB	IA ROAD	LO, SUZANNE		
P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/772,971	LU, JOSEPH Z.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SUZANNE LO	2128	•

<b>.</b>	Examino		ł.				
	SUZANNE LO	2128	·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	•				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant.	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expiresnormal from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	Standard Mark 27 OFD 44 27 mount be	filed within two most	ha of the data of				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			Coudo				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re-	iected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		,55,55					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		·					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7,8,11,17,19,24 and 27</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 9, 10, 12-16, 18, 20-23, 25, 26, 28</u>	<u>3-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ills to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12 VI Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 1/30/08	and 11/9/07					
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>√20/0</u> 8 → 1/49/07 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
		•					
•							

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendment "that divide the upper triangular matrix into four sections" and "one of the" changes the scope of the claims and requires further search and consideration. However the Applicant is advised that the proposed amendment would overcome the 35 U.S.c. 101 rejection. Additionally as it has been stated on the record by the Applicant that the "input" recited in claim 12 is a physical input, not a signal, the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection have been overcome.

KAMINI SHAH EXAMINER KAMINISH PATENT EXAMINER